

Part III, Henry IV, Conclusion

They don't want to say all of that. OLIVER NORTH! And don't take my word, go and check the evidence, don't take my - That's how I know Oliver North.

Because I was called in by my friends at the U.N. about this whole Grenadian invasion thing. And I was the person who had the credibility enough for them to send to speak to Eugenia Charles (P.M. of Dominica) and this (Edward) Seaga (Fifth P.M. of Jamaica) guy. We spell his name "C-I-A-G-A," for obvious reasons. Do you know who Seaga is? Educated in Jamaica, went to Harvard and wrote a treatise on cultism. That's the name of the treatise "Cultism." He was the guy who went back and created "Heritage Day" in Jamaica. And drank a pint of goat's blood, it was in the papers, he drank that. "Our heritage." He's a mixture of Lebanese, European, and Black, that's his, if you believe in ethnicity. He was the guy, not (Michael) Manley (Fourth P.M. of Jamaica), and Manley's an ass already. He was the guy that promoted Bob Marley. Seaga did that. And Reagan says, "We have a friend in Jamaica." Some guy, I'm making a speech down at the information service, USIA (US Information Agency), and the guy gets up and asks the question, some Republican type, "We have friends in the Third World." F*** that! It's not who are the friends of the President, it's who are the friends of the American System? The fact that you could, these guys are really stu-, all these stupid, ex-Blacks and indentured laborers and Reagan tells them, "You must believe in the magic of the marketplace." You ever heard that one? And their ancestors came here because of the lack of magic in the marketplace. Well, I've made this digression, that's, "You do that voodoo," that's Seaga.

I make that digression because, I want to tell you this, I've been saying this, since my illness and I came back September '85, right? You guys don't know what reality is in politics, you guys don't know how the world is shaped. You still hear crap about "Iran will do this, Iraq will do that, France will..." Nation states don't run the world. It's the people behind the leaders in the nation states that run the world. Iraq doesn't do anything, Iran doesn't do anything, the Lebanese don't leak a story. The Soviets get their friends to leak the story, that America is doing that, as part of Gorbachev...Look, the man is winning. That's what we're trying to hide. This guy is being an ass. You believe that crap that Daniloff and Zakharov and all that crap is going on? The guy's an imbecile, what we have there now. And when you make a noise about it, nobody listens, so I keep quiet, because the next...I'm running out of countries.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: That's why we're gonna to colonize Mars!

...I have no other place to go. Because the next place I have to go is the place to which I suppose Hulan ⁽¹⁾ has gone, so that, I have to watch what I say. But that's what I, has happened.

That's the meaning of power plays. Understand power plays. Bush, watch what he's going to do, it's going to be a power play. Watch what Dole is going to do, it's going to be a power play. Watch what Gary Hart is going to do. "What is the best strategy I must use, to take advantage of the moral

Part III, Henry IV, Conclusion

vacuum created by the emperor losing his clothes?" That's what he's going to do. That's what he's going to do. That's what life is about. Those are the power plays involved. And that's what Shakespeare educates you in. If you would look.

I know we're, it's time, I may be repeating a lines full stop. The man's job is hypothesis formation. To encourage you to form hypotheses about history and about life! Do you know what happened, when they reproduced Coriolanus in Paris after the war? There were riots. Riots in Paris. This whole business of the man on horseback, and this, that. So, he has Shallow and Justice up for jokes, eh? And he has the sheriff's men, the Boar's Head, they're called in, they're up for jokes, too.

Now, the King now is in Westminster and he's going to die. The famous passage, which you must know about, you must have heard the expression, "Uneasy lies the head that wears the crown," it's from this, Part II of *Henry IV*. Whilst he's there, his sons are inside, and he's lying on the bed, and the crown is put next to him on the pillow. They go outside and tell the eldest son, Henry V, Prince Hal, to go in, and he thinks his father is dead. He makes a speech about, how death is a few minutes away, etc. life is shallow, short...and the crown, and he takes the crown and goes in the next room, tries it on, but the man is in a coma, he ain't dead. So, he wakes up. "Who has the crown?" They check it out, "Henry, your son." "You mean you wouldn't even wait until I die!" You know? Big scene in *Henry IV*, gives you a lesson in diplomacy, how to explain away, that. "I did do it," don't lie, you know, "but I thought you were dead in my arms, I wasn't trying it on for ambition," blah, blah.

Important point: Empiricism is a bad tool of truth. The crown is missing. Henry (the son) had it on his head. Inference: He's trying to become king before his father is dead. It's a stupid empirical conclusion. That's why he put it in the play! He didn't put it in the play so the groundlings in the pit could laugh. He's putting it there, says, look, empiricism is not the sole source of truth. Is not the sole tool of truth. And if you rely on empirical methods alone, you get nowhere. I remember when the Watergate crisis was going on. Fellows asked me, Mexican friend of mine who went and lost his job because he voted the wrong way on Zionism in the U.N. He lost his job, I survived. "Zionism is a form of racism." He voted for it, did that. He was the foreign minister, broke the rules, or something like that. Anyway, the question was: Did Nixon know beforehand, that the guys were going to burglarize Watergate? In the same way, you got be real asses to believe that Reagan didn't know beforehand, what North was doing. He was so interested in Contra aid, and he doesn't know? But they say no proof, huh? Empirical proof. Well, they asked me this stupid question: Did Nixon know beforehand, that his guys would break into Watergate? And, uh, I used the old method, which the Buddhists like, ask back a question. So I asked him, "Whoever heard, that the madame of a whorehouse is a virgin?" Now you get the point? Do you want empirical proof that the madame of a whorehouse is not a virgin? To believe she is not a virgin? You see? Well, Nixon's White House was a whorehouse. It was. I mean, Ehrlichman and Haldeman and Kissinger, you know?

Why you guys insist on empiricism, I don't know! It's missing, it's always ex post facto. You have to wait for the data, you know, and then draw an inference or a generalization. Until you get the

Part III, Henry IV, Conclusion

data you have no knowledge. That's what it means. So, it starts off on a faulty basis. You could corroborate things. Above all, you mean to tell me that you guys, to this day, live in society which still accepts, if you watch the scientific programs as I watch, that an apple could attract the whole Earth? Apple on a tree, the man says that the Earth attracts the apple, and the apple, this that will pop apart, attracts the whole Earth. And you believe this? You know? The law of attraction. Action at a distance. Gravitational pull. And all that crap.

So, look, to understand, what a power play is. Now the king, now, after the passage where Henry tries on the crown, explains, and Shakespeare makes this point, the king dies. And Henry V is going to succeed, in Britain. They're not fools, the oligarchy aren't foolish, they choose Aristotelianism. It isn't that they don't know Platonism. Don't make that mistake - they know, what the alternative is. Because it was they who deployed Descartes, and fooled everybody. If you really study what happened to Leibniz and those guys, you'll be amazed, they fell for, because Descartes started to argue, against Newton, action at a distance is wrong, you know, therefore, he's got to be "a good guy," you know, because you don't believe in action...You look at the, many people in this organization, some ass out there says one thing, that we believe in - one - he's a great guy. Oh, if he's a cannibal, he's a whoremonger, but he says ONE good thing...he's a great guy. But, you know? Who falls for that! You have to get into why he does what he does. Causation. that's what this man's message is. Get causation at all times. Why does he do what he does? You know, don't worry, I'm from a Latin Americ- you know this. You want American aid? Make, you have to make a big speech cussing Communism. Simple! And I was set up once by the Prime Minister, "Look, Freddy, we need American aid." I said, "Yes, I know." He said, "Well, then, you got to make a speech, I'm tired of making them." I said, "Well, what do you want me to do?" He said, "We'll be holding a big rally, make a speech, and cuss the Communists." and so I said "Fine." And I used the Bible, and I cussed those Communists, you know, let me tell you, you know? Cussed them. I had the crowd in stitches. I said, "The Soviets come and tell you so, but that's a red herring!" "Yay!" They liked that. Next morning the American ambassador sought an interview. He was, he said, "I sent the text of your speech down to the State Department and I sent copies to be circulated to Defense," and all that, you know, so, and I said, "Well, you know, that's what I feel, the Prime Minister asked me to make it." He says, "I can't promise you anything in the specific, but I must let you know, according to an encrypted message I have by code," which we had, we had their code, but, you know...they're stupid. They only look at the codes when they're in big countries, you know, like France, and Britain, and Russia. When you're a small country they don't feel the need to keep any serious codes, so we had their code, and, "We'll increase the level of aid, something is in the pipeline, to be continued," because I did, to tell you the truth, "You cussed the Communists." And then when now, the Russians, you need them for any purpose, to buy bauxite, for instance, you don't set me up because I got to keep a credibility going. You set somebody else up to cuss the Capitalists, you see? And he cusses Capitalism. And the Russians, "Yes, Bravo! 1917! October!" and all that. And they buy the bauxite. It's a dangerous tightrope, though. I'll tell you that, that much.

So...what...you got to always ask, "Why?" You have to always inquire *why* things are as they are. I was telling you once in lecture, that there are three Platonic questions that every Platonist asks himself, and maybe I'll tell you that tonight. The first question is: "Why are things as they are, and

Part III, Henry IV, Conclusion

not otherwise?" That is the first question. The second question is: "What assumption have I overlooked in making my initial hypothesis? And the third question is: "What is that, without which all falls down?" Those are the three questions that give you Platonic answers. You don't have to go and smell a piece of Earth. Or wait for a case study. Or go asking, you know, people. Those are the platonic questions

You know what set (Isaac)Newton mad, that the young Platonists of his day asked him? "Why do all the planets orbit the Sun in the same direction? So, fine, he agrees with Kepler, he, and, incidentally, I can tell you how he did it. He worked the mathematics back to see what assumption he has to make, made the assumption, and then he worked forward. But he..."All," he says, "elliptical orbit," you know, eccentricity, and so forth, elliptical orbits around the Sun. Well, the guy asks him, "All right, fine. But why did they going in the same direction? It's their problem, not that they all go that way, I mean they come so, and they come so, but it's all, say, let's say clockwise for now, just so, because that has no meaning, really. But they're going in one direction. Why? Then another guy asked him, "Well, is the Sun really static? Or does it move? Because we heard you give a lecture where you said the Sun revolves on its axis, and moves towards Hyperion," the star Hyperion(sic). It does. So the Sun isn't really static. "All is motion," said Heraclitus. And why you're going through all this mathematics if Heraclitus was right? You know? Just say Heraclitus, "All is motion." And what's a comet? You know up to this day, 1986, you guys are supposed to believe that comets come from some extraterrestrial place, huh? And suddenly appear with a big tail and a dirty snowball, eh? And then some say "Oh, but the orbit of a comet," check it all, don't take my word," is either a parabola, a hyperbola, or ellipse." well, do you know what a hyperbola is? How the hell could you have a hyperbolic path? It's two things going so...but they say so. And a parabola is this, just go down...But suppose everything is rotation. Suppose everything is circular motion - just, let us do some hypothesis formation, as an example what I mean. Suppose you take, you know what an ellipse is, your mouth is an ellipse, OK? And you make a long ellipse and bring it from...right? If you're starting here, you'll see a hyperbola, if you're standing over here, you'll see a parabola. You see what I mean? So, formation, the kind of curve, has to be related to directionality, and locus, where you are, at the time you are making the observation. So, a guy would say it's a hyperbola. All he's really saying is that all action, and it is true, is rotational. You don't walk a straight line, you walk part of a curve. Now, we done all that talking here. Where's the case study? We don't have any spectroscopes, hmm? And fine (structure) constants, you know that thing that they give you, hmm?

Suppose. Suppose all the planets - this is an hypothesis I'm offering you, it's one of mine, but don't accept it, because I have to write something, called "The Conceptualization of Change." Suppose that all the planets go in the same direction, because the Solar System itself has a nucleus...and it's revolving around something. You can't prove I'm wrong. It's a hypothesis. It surely explains the fact that they go in the same direction, because just as we go around the Sun, the whole damn, we came off of the Sun, the Sun created all these planets. The envelope of gas, and the expanding, you read Leibniz's *Tentamen* (?)?... and resolidified and condensed and formed planets. OK? Well, when the Sun was there, suppose the Sun itself was the planet of something else. Wouldn't that explain why the Sun does all this, and we do well to reach a hypothesis? But

Part III, Henry IV, Conclusion

then, I'm merely a little insignificant Black chap from Guyana. Don't take my word for it. It's a hypothesis. The point I'm making is, that empiricism is a bad tool of truth. And if you get married, for Christ's sake don't depend on empiricism. A wife, when her husband plays the football game and forgets his shorts, she will say, "Well, where the hell were you? You left your shorts!" Et cetera. This has happened to a friend of mine, you know? Then you got to explain in court.

So, he uses, he uses Falstaff and these guys for this. Well, the king dies, and the point I was making, is that the oligarchy believes in a bit of Platonism. They know, they prefer, because they will run the world on Aristotelianism and sometimes, they have to accept Platonism, to go to the next stage. So then they say, "The King is dead. Long live the King," that's what they say. If Elizabeth dies, a guy will come out, to announce to the public, "The Queen is dead. Long live the King!" That's what he has to say. It means that to rule is a process of continuity, you know? Henry the Fifth becomes the king immediately. There is no gap, there is no hiatus. At the moment he is dead, he is the king.

So, he becomes the king, and everybody expects now that he is going to - now he has power - he's going to do things. Well, I can't let you into the secrets of what he will do, until I get to *Henry V*. I'll merely tell you this: that once he had slapped the Chief Justice, and the Chief Justice had jailed him. So he became king, the Chief Justice was quivering. The man is now king, you know? So he said "I know, let me beg your pardon. I know I jailed you when you slapped me." but he says, "I want you as Chief Justice. Because that's right. I was wrong, you were right." Shakespeare is building up an ideal philosopher king type, you see. And Pistol carries the news back to Falstaff, "All right, boys! Prince Hal is now the KING! Great Days! Great Days!" Falstaff, now, he can stop drinking ale. Now it's Bourbon! Sherry! You know what he does? He rejects them. He jails them! And in *Henry V* itself, Falstaff dies of the rejection. He says, "Now I am King, those days are over." The lesson I gather from those days is this: that a prince should always know how his people think. Because you cannot, I use my words now, you cannot lead people from the front, but be so far in front they can't see you, you know? Can't identify. So he says, "To hell with you guys, don't come in - stay 10 miles away from me." And Falstaff couldn't, blah, blah. He invents other comic characters for *Henry V*, but Falstaff has had it. Pistol, there are a lot of puns on Pistol. "Pistol's cock is up and flashing fire will follow." and all that kind of thing...

But he shows the disorder, the chaos, and the ruin, that needs must follow all attempts to abandon natural law. The law, the conscription, the battles, the reign, was inconsistent with natural law. It was not calculated to promote the creation of processes, their growth, and, above all, their development. It wasn't! You can't have a continuous fight against rebels going on. You can't have statecraft being reduced to "Who shall reign next?" You have to do some things whilst you wait around for whom should reign next. You can't have it like that. Hence, the disorder, the chaos. Out of this, springs out this prince, Henry V. Not to say he was ideal in the sense that he was a great man. Some of his qualities are going to be great. But he's going to use him as a sounding board: when he's wrong, why he's wrong, when he's right, why he's right. And Henry will come out and make all these speeches, and you're going to have some fantastic statements...who... Canterbury saying, "He's a sudden scholar." Well, isn't that what happens, I've met people in this movement, who just joined the movement, they learn a few of our hypotheses, and when they come to me, their parents are complaining, "He's a sudden scholar! He's telling you about Plato

Part III, Henry IV, Conclusion

and Aristotle. Before that, it was Duke Ellington and Count Basie!" You know? Sudden scholar. Well, that's what this is about. So, it's an important play for you to look at, and it's important in the context of power plays, important as a build up towards *Henry V*, the next play, and one of the crucial battles of the world, Agincourt. It's important, there's a lot of poetry in the play. I gave you one passage already, and there are other passages, with the which I shall not deal. Soliloquies by the king, the difficulties of leadership. "What is Leadership?" Above all, right through the thing, the important things are not the rights of the leaders; the duties are more important, because according to Shakespeare, rights and duties are correlatives. 'Rights' is the head of the coin, and 'Duties' are the tail. You have a right to personal safety because I and others have a personal duty not to hit you. That's the only sense in which the word 'Rights' should be used. And you shouldn't speak about the President's rights, or privilege, or congressmen; his duties are what's important. Existence is defined by duties, and duties are further defined by adherence to natural law. So, when you get up in the morning, cut the crap. "What are my rights today?" That's s***. "What are your duties?" That's what's important.

This crap out there you've been in California I was there in 76. I have a right to use my body as I choose crap you have a duty not to abuse your body ...

It is false Benthanism and a false ... and those guys push the creed liberalism beyond its natural meaning.

It's your duties that are important. You have a duty to the country. To Mankind. To Civilization. To Science. He's going to say in *Henry V*, without Science, Man is a savage. That's what the man says, actual words of Shakespeare (3) So, duties are what's important.

And, next time I'm here, I'll do *Henry V*. But let me at least suffer the delusion, that you will have read *Henry IV*, Part I and Part II, because I can't stop, I have go, because I'm ordered to get you guys into Plato's *Dialogues*. That's what I'm getting at, you see? And, I got it from two sources, a derived source in Dennis Speed, and an original source in Lyndon LaRouche. "Hurry up that Shakespeare and get those guys into Plato." The *Dialogues*, and what have you. So you help me, because I cannot wait...

.END

(1) Hulan Jack, former Borough President of Manhattan, and collaborator with Lyndon LaRouche, and friend of Dr. Wills, passed away the previous day, at the age of 79.

(2) "*Tentamen de motuum coelestium causis*" (Leibniz Essay on the Causes of the Celestial Motions) 1689

(3) Burgundy: "...Even so our houses and ourselves and children/Have lost, or do not learn for want of time,/The sciences that should become our country;/But grow like savages, as soldiers

Part III, Henry IV, Conclusion

will,/That nothing do but meditate on blood,/To swearing and stern looks,/diffused attire,/And everything that seems unnatural." *Henry V*, Act V, Scene 2