

which are today at the heart of the Establishment's covert warfare and dirty tricks operations. The network of Communist-turned-anti-Stalinist activists which Goldberg organized, evolved into what is today called the "neo-conservative" grouping. Dershowitz himself has become a media favorite, speaking on Zionist as well as civil rights issues. In addition to being a frequent guest on neo-conservative Ted Koppel's late-night television program, he was the subject of the recent Hollywood movie *Reversal of Fortune*.

Ironically, Dershowitz's recommendation to Jews, quoted below, ignores all Jewish tradition, and rather embraces the views expressed, for example, by Freemasonic propagandist Mark Twain in his 1898 article, "Concerning the Jews." In that paper, which the Anti-Defamation League reprinted in 1934, Twain recommended that Jews syndicalize in order to exercise political power. What Dershowitz doesn't report is that Twain compared his "benign" attitude toward Jewry in that article, to his admiration for Satan, and went on to describe Jews as money-grubbing cheats.

Dershowitz appeals to Jews not only to organize, but to renounce monotheism in favor of pagan cultism, including, perhaps, outright satanism:

"The holocaust caused many Jews to question the theological basis of Judaism. . . . [F]ew can doubt that the Holocaust altered the relationship between the Jewish God and his 'chosen people.' What, after all, had we been 'chosen' for? . . .

"If there can be one God, why can't there be many? Indeed, in some respects monotheism is a regression and an invitation to conflict. If there is only one true God, then all people must worship him. . . .

"Even monotheistic religions flirt with the idea of more than one supernatural force, whether it be the Devil or the Son of God or divine prophets."

A yeshiva student like Dershowitz should recognize his arguments, puerile though they be, as those of the Baalists (worshippers of the Golden Calf) against Moses and the Ten Commandments; he is embracing the 3000-year-

old adversary of Jewish thought. His pragmatic evaluation—what's in it for me?—of concepts such as "the chosen people" and "the Son of God," is an indication of the extent to which today's Jewish institutions and leaders have rejected the theological tradition they claim to defend.

The Anti-Defamation League finds it politically acceptable that any false god be worshipped—but not the One True God—regardless of what is true. Dershowitz describes his youthful rejection of the message of the thirty-seventh Psalm ("I was a child and then grew old, but I never saw a righteous person abandoned or his children asking for food"), by saying, "Indeed, the Holocaust, and the world's reaction to it, make it demonstrably clear not only that the observation is factually false, but also that it is morally unacceptable." In fact, the thirty-seventh Psalm is a poetic description of the consequences of turning away from truthfulness in favor of supposed material rewards, precisely as Dershowitz proposes we do now. I quote from the Jewish Publication Society's 1963 translation of the thirty-seventh Psalm, which I urge you to read in full, in this or another translation:

*Fret not thyself because of evil-doers,
Neither be thou envious against them
that work unrighteousness. For they
shall soon wither like the grass, And
fade as the green herb. . . .
Commit thy way unto the Lord. . . .
Cease from anger, and forsake wrath;
Fret not thyself, it tendeth only to evil-
doing. . . .
And yet a little while, and the wicked is
no more; Yea, thou shalt look well at
his place, and he is not.
But the humble shall inherit the land,
And delight themselves in the abun-
dance of peace.
The wicked plotteth against the righ-
teous, And gnasheth at him with his
teeth.
The Lord doth laugh at him; For He
seeth that his day is coming. . . .*


Only the fostering of human creativity, man's service in the image of God the creator, can sustain society. Only in such service does a man's life have lasting value. Those societies which have accepted Dershowitz's opinion, that any opinion but the truth is as good as any other, have become the subjects of archeology. Look in their place and they are not.

—Stanley Ezrol

Exposing the Environmentalist Fraud

How many dozens of times have you heard the media repeat that modern-day variant of Chicken Little's alarm: the Earth's ozone layer is being destroyed by human population growth and increasing industrial activity! Without it, we will all be burned to a crisp by the sun's ultraviolet radiation!

Under cover of this scare story, the radical environmentalists and their backers have accomplished the ban-



**Holes in the Ozone Hoax:
The Scientific Evidence
That the Sky Isn't Falling**
by Rogelio A. Maduro
and Ralf Schauerhammer
21st Century Science Associates,
Washington, D.C., 1992
346 pages, paperbound, \$15.00

ning of some of the cheapest, safest, and most useful chemicals known to man—the chlorofluorocarbons (CFC's) and their close relatives. These man-made chemicals play a key role in the world economy through such applications as refrigeration, industrial production, medicine, and fire-fighting. It will cost hundreds of billions of dollars to replace them; in some applications, it is impossible to replace them at all.

The ozone hole doomsday theory is a scientific fraud. But, up until now, it has been publicly challenged by only a few courageous scientists and publications. In early June, happily, 21st Century Science Associates released this comprehensive refutation of the ozone hoax, by their own Rogelio A. Maduro, and Ralf Schauerhammer of the Fusion Energy Foundation in Germany. Dr. Haroun Tazieff, the former French secretary of state for the prevention of natural and technological disasters, supplied a foreword to the study.

Myth and Fact

The ozone depletion theory holds that chlorine atoms, released in the stratosphere from the breakup of CFC's, attack and destroy the molecules of ozone (O₃) which form the layer shielding the Earth from much of the sun's incoming ultraviolet radiation. This scare is based on a number of media-perpatrated myths, say the authors, who tackle each one in turn.

For example:

Myth: CFC's rise into the stratosphere, about thirty kilometers above the Earth.

Fact: There is no scientific evidence that CFC's, which are heavy, complex molecules, rise in large quantities into the stratosphere. Consider the fact that freon, a CFC used as a refrigerant, sinks to the floor of a workshop when released from containment.

Myth: CFC's are broken apart in the stratosphere, producing chlorine atoms which attack and destroy ozone molecules.

Fact: The chemistry of CFC's in the atmosphere has never been observed, although 192 other chemical reactions and 84 photochemical reactions have

been. The ozone depletion theory was constructed on a computer.

Myth: The (allegedly) ozone-destroying chlorine atoms in the stratosphere are the result of the breakup of CFC's by ultraviolet light.

Fact: Natural sources produce much larger amounts of chlorine than do CFC's. Each year, the evaporation of seawater alone releases 600 million tons of chlorine into the atmosphere; another 36 million tons of chlorine are pumped into the stratosphere by volcanoes (both active and passively degassing). Biomass burning and ocean biota both release orders of magnitude more chlorine into the atmosphere than CFC's, which release, at the most, 7,500 tons per year.

Myth: The ozone hole over Antarctica was discovered by scientists during the 1980's.

Fact: British scientist Gordon Dobson, who pioneered the study of ozone in the atmosphere, documented the existence of the Antarctic ozone hole in 1956-57, *before the widespread use of CFC's*. (The chapter dealing with ozone from Dobson's 1968 book, *Exploring the Atmosphere*, is reprinted as an appendix in this volume.)

Scientific Rigor

Unlike the scare stories in the *New York Times* and other establishment publications, the Maduro-Schauerhammer assertions are meticulously documented by direct reference to the published scientific literature. Their book encompasses a rigorous and thorough review of the existing experimental and theoretical research on ozone in the atmosphere, and makes it easily accessible to the layman.

Perhaps even more important, Maduro and Schauerhammer answer the question: *Cui bono?* Who benefits from the ozone hole scare, and the banning of CFC's that has resulted? None other than the members of the global chemicals cartel which financed and supported the movement to ban CFC's from the beginning. The major players here are Great Britain's International Chemical Industries (ICI) and the Du Pont Company, which was taken over in 1981 by the now-bank-

rupt Bronfman empire. These giant chemical companies have already made more than \$6 billion in profits on CFC replacement since the 1987 Montreal Protocol which mandated the phaseout of CFC's.

Who Pays?

The next question posed and answered is: Who pays? The billions in new profits for the chemical cartel will be sucked out of the economies of the nations of the world. In the industrialized nations this will result in the tripling of the price of refrigerators and air conditioners—among other indirect, though steep, costs to the consumer. In the developing sector, which will be largely deprived of refrigeration facilities, the CFC's ban will result in the death of hundreds of millions of human beings. But, the authors assert, this is fine with the radical environmentalists and their oligarchical backers—such as Prince Philip of Britain—who are the leaders of the global depopulation lobby.

Finally, *Holes in the Ozone Scare* goes beyond presenting this frightening analysis. The book proposes an alternative: the overthrow of the murderous environmentalist regime now ruling our schools, government institutions, and media, and its replacement with an organized effort to accomplish global economic development to the benefit of all nations and people. The global Great Projects infrastructure-building program advocated by presidential candidate Lyndon LaRouche is laid out in detail here.

Thus, the authors conclude on the optimistic note: "With our work on these great projects here on Earth under way, man can then raise his eyes to the Heavens, and begin the great project of space exploration and colonization begun in the 1960's, but sadly aborted. Eventually we shall carry out great projects on Mars, to transform it from a dead desert into a beautiful garden, fit for billions of human beings.

"What honest nature-lover could object to that?"

—Christina N. Huth